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Basic crawler operation

- Begin with known “seed” URLs
- Fetch and parse them
  - Extract URLs they point to
  - Place the extracted URLs on a queue
- Fetch each URL on the queue and repeat
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What any crawler **must** do

- **Be Polite:** Respect implicit and explicit politeness considerations
  - Only crawl allowed pages
  - Respect *robots.txt* (more on this shortly)

- **Be Robust:** Be immune to spider traps and other malicious behavior from web servers
What any crawler should do

- Be capable of distributed operation: designed to run on multiple distributed machines

- Be scalable: designed to increase the crawl rate by adding more machines

- Performance/efficiency: permit full use of available processing and network resources
What any crawler should do (Cont’d)

- Fetch pages of “higher quality” first

- **Continuous** operation: Continue fetching fresh copies of a previously fetched page

- **Extensible**: Adapt to new data formats, protocols
Explicit and implicit politeness

- **Explicit politeness**: specifications from webmasters on what portions of site can be crawled
  - robots.txt

- **Implicit politeness**: even with no specification, avoid hitting any site too often
Robots.txt

- Protocol for giving spiders ("robots") limited access to a website, originally from 1994
  - [www.robotstxt.org/wc/norobots.html](http://www.robotstxt.org/wc/norobots.html)

- Website announces its request on what can(not) be crawled
  - For a server, create a file `/robots.txt`
  - This file specifies access restrictions
Robots.txt example

- No robot should visit any URL starting with "/yoursite/temp/", except the robot called “searchengine”:

  User-agent: *
  Disallow: /yoursite/temp/

  User-agent: searchengine
  Disallow: 

Sec. 20.2.1
Robots.txt example: nih.gov

User-agent: PicoSearch/1.0
Disallow: /news/information/knight/
Disallow: /nidcd/
...
Disallow: /news/research_matters/secure/
Disallow: /od/ocpl/wag/

User-agent: *
Disallow: /news/information/knight/
Disallow: /nidcd/
...
Disallow: /news/research_matters/secure/
Disallow: /od/ocpl/wag/
Disallow: /ddir/
Disallow: /sdminutes/
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URL frontier

- The URL frontier is the data structure that holds and manages URLs we’ve seen, but that have not been crawled yet.
- Can include multiple pages from the same host
  - Must avoid trying to fetch them all at the same time
- Must keep all crawling threads busy
Processing steps in crawling

- Pick a URL from the frontier
- Fetch the doc at the URL
- Parse the URL
  - Extract links from it to other docs (URLs)
- Check if URL has content already seen
  - If not, add to indexes
- For each extracted URL
  - Ensure it passes certain URL filter tests
  - Check if it is already in the frontier (duplicate URL elimination)
Basic crawl architecture

WWW ➔ DNS ➔ Fetch ➔ Parse ➔ Doc FP's ➔ robots filters ➔ URL set ➔ Dup URL elim ➔ URL Frontier

Sec. 20.2.1
DNS (Domain Name Server)

- A lookup service on the internet
  - Given a URL, retrieve its IP address
  - Service provided by a distributed set of servers – thus, lookup latencies can be high (even seconds)

- Common OS implementations of DNS lookup are blocking: only one outstanding request at a time

- Solutions
  - DNS caching
  - Batch DNS resolver – collects requests and sends them out together
Parsing: URL normalization

- When a fetched document is parsed, some of the extracted links are *relative* URLs

- During parsing, must normalize (expand) such relative URLs
Content seen?

- Duplication is widespread on the web

- If the page just fetched is already in the index, do not further process it

- This is verified using document fingerprints or shingles
Filters and robots.txt

- **Filters** – regular expressions for URL’s to be crawled or not
  - E.g., only crawl .edu
  - Filter URLs that we can not access according to robots.txt

- Once a robots.txt file is fetched from a site, need not fetch it repeatedly
  - Doing so burns bandwidth, hits web server
  - Cache robots.txt files
Duplicate URL elimination

- For a non-continuous (one-shot) crawl, test to see if an extracted+filtered URL has already been passed to the frontier

- For a continuous crawl – see details of frontier implementation
Simple crawler: complications

- Web crawling isn’t feasible with one machine
  - All steps are distributed

- Malicious pages
  - Spam pages
  - Spider traps
    - Malicious server that generates an infinite sequence of linked pages
    - Sophisticated traps generate pages that are not easily identified as dynamic.

- Even non-malicious pages pose challenges
  - Latency/bandwidth to remote servers vary
  - Webmasters’ stipulations
    - How “deep” should you crawl a site’s URL hierarchy?
  - Site mirrors and duplicate pages

- Politeness – don’t hit a server too often
Distributing the crawler

- Run multiple crawl threads, under different processes – potentially at different nodes
  - Geographically distributed nodes

- Partition hosts being crawled into nodes
  - Hash used for partition

- How do these nodes communicate and share URLs?
Communication between nodes

- Output of the URL filter at each node is sent to the Dup URL Eliminator of the appropriate node
URL frontier: two main considerations

- **Politeness**: do not hit a web server too frequently

- **Freshness**: crawl some pages more often than others
  - E.g., pages (such as News sites) whose content changes often

These goals may conflict each other.

(E.g., simple priority queue fails – many links out of a page go to its own site, creating a burst of accesses to that site.)
Politeness – challenges

- Even if we restrict only one thread to fetch from a host, can hit it repeatedly

- Common heuristic:
  - Insert time gap between successive requests to a host that is >> time for most recent fetch from that host
URL frontier: Mercator scheme
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Mercator URL frontier

- URLs flow in from the top into the frontier

- **Front queues** manage prioritization

- **Back queues** enforce politeness

- Each queue is FIFO
Mercator URL frontier: Front queues

- Prioritizer assigns to URL an integer priority between 1 and $F$
  - Appends URL to corresponding queue

- Heuristics for assigning priority
  - Refresh rate sampled from previous crawls
  - Application-specific (e.g., “crawl news sites more often”)
Mercator URL frontier: Front queues

Selection from front queues is initiated by back queues

Pick a front queue from which to select next URL
Mercator URL frontier: Biased front queue selector

- When a back queue requests a URL (in a sequence to be described): picks a front queue from which to pull a URL

- This choice can be round robin biased to queues of higher priority, or some more sophisticated variant
  - Can be randomized
Mercator URL frontier: Back queues

Invariant 1. Each back queue is kept non-empty while the crawl is in progress.

Invariant 2. Each back queue only contains URLs from a single host.

Maintain a table from hosts to back queues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host name</th>
<th>Back queue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mercator URL frontier: Back queue heap

- One entry for each back queue
- The entry is the earliest time $t_e$ at which the host corresponding to the back queue can be hit again
- This earliest time is determined from
  - Last access to that host
  - Any time buffer heuristic we choose
Mercator URL frontier: Back queue

- A crawler thread seeking a URL to crawl:
  - Extracts the root of the heap
  - Fetches URL at the head of corresponding back queue $q$
  - if queue $q = \emptyset$ then
    - Repeat
      - (i) pull URLs $v$ from front queues
      - (ii) add $v$ to its corresponding back queue . . .
    - . . . until we get a $v$ whose host does not have a back queue.
  - Add $v$ to $q$ and create heap entry for $q$
Number of back queues $B$

- Keep all threads busy while respecting politeness
- Mercator recommendation: three times as many back queues as crawler threads
Resources

- IIR Chapter 20
- Mercator: A scalable, extensible web crawler (Heydon et al. 1999)